(Spl.-H.C.A.S.,C.D.,78-e)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 62 OF 2004

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Quorum, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri J. P. Mulgaokar, advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S. D. Lotlikar, Senior Advocate with Ms. Shambhavi Dessai, advocate for the respondents.

CORAM : D. G. KARNIK, J.

DATE : 17th March, 2004

P.C.:

The trial Court has held that its order would be subject to the order that may be passed by the Rent Controller under Section 35 of the Goa Rent Control Act. The learned counsel for the respondents submits that thereafter the petitioner has already filed proceedings under Section 35 of the Goa Rent Control Act and the proceedings are pending. The petitioner has thus availed of alternate remedy for getting the water supply as he allegedly does not get sufficient water supply.

In view of the alternate remedy availed of by the petitioner, no interference is necessary in exercise of writ jurisdiction.

Hence the petition is rejected.

D. G. KARNIK, J.

mc.